

FOUNDATIONAL MODULE

Climate Justice & Democracy



CLIMATE JUSTICE
INSTRUCTIONAL
— TOOLKIT —

What's in this module?

Description

This module consists of 5 parts that introduce the connection between democracy and climate justice, consider the link between climate justice and voter suppression, explore the effects of the polluter-industrial complex on climate justice, offers solutions, provide additional resources and project options.

Contents

5 parts
9 readings
5 activities
2 optional projects

Key Resources

- [*Democracy and the Challenge of Climate Change*](#)
- [*Disenfranchising the Environmental and Climate Justice Movements*](#)
- [*Why the Climate Crisis Demands Democracy Reform*](#)



Learning Objectives

01

Understand the connection between climate justice and democracy

02

Consider the relationship between climate justice and voter suppression

03

Critique current election laws based on their inhibition of climate justice

04

Explore several solutions to climate injustice in the form of democracy reform

Introduction

PART 1



Connection Between CJ and Democracy

"Studies show that democracies tend to generate better climate policy outputs than autocracies, but the empirical evidence that democracy promotes climate policy impact, such as decrease of CO2 emissions, is weak." (Lindvall & Karlsson, 2023)

Democracy can spur climate action

"Democracy also brings critical advantages in formulating effective climate policy, such as representative parliaments which can hold governments to account, widespread civic participation, independent media and a free flow of information, the active engagement by civil society organizations in policymaking and the capacity for institutional learning in the face of complex issues with long-term and global social and political implications." (Lindvall, 2021)



Democracy's Drawbacks

The challenge at a global scale

"Democracy is a system limited by time and space, while the problem of climate change runs across generations and national borders. Moreover, the influence of fossil fuel lobbyism, corruption, policy capture and weak institutional capacity have hampered democracies from acting responsibly." ([Lindvall, 2021](#))

Disproportionate effects

- Globally, these challenges disproportionately affect BIPOC, low-income, and coastal communities, and the Global South because they're experiencing the effects of climate change worst and first
- In the U.S., similar communities disproportionately experience environmental and climate injustices, but also have the least power to make change using the tools of democracy

For more see [Democracy and the Challenge of Climate Change](#)

"Protester holding a sign with 'Climate Justice Now' message at a Global Climate Strike (51058381908)" by Ivan Radic is licensed under CC BY 2.0.



The Two-Pronged Problem in the U.S.

There are two key democratic barriers to achieving climate justice

1. Voter suppression, which disproportionately harms CJ communities
2. Extensive influence from the polluter-industrial complex, which limits CJ legislation

Polluter-industrial complex

The polluter-industrial complex (PIC) refers to "those sectors of business that would stand to profit the most from a weakening of the liberal regime of environmental regulation." This includes "conservative think tanks, policy institutes, research centers, foundations, public relations firms, and corporate-funded environmental (or astroturf) organizations." (Faber, 2008)



Voter Suppression

PART 2



Why Voting Rights are Important

Climate justice from the bottom up

Given the influence of the polluter-industrial complex and the bureaucratic barriers that exist at the national and even state levels of government, it is vital that local governments take action on climate injustice. Of course, this requires that voters are in fact able to vote in their local elections.

EJ communities = disenfranchised communities

Communities that experience the most environmental and climate injustices are often the same communities that experience the most voter suppression (Chaput, Williams & Figueras, 2021). In order to achieve climate justice, these communities must be able to vote.

For more on CJ from the bottom up see [The GND from Below](#)



Voter Suppression

According to the Brennan Center for Justice, more than 17 million people were deprived of their right to vote between 2016 and 2018.

The tools of voter suppression

- Voter ID
- Voter deception
- Voter intimidation
- Voter purge
- Felon disenfranchisement
- Racial purges

“Voter suppression of marginalized and low income communities diminishes opposition to anti-environmental and anti-regulatory policies by discouraging or completely preventing groups that tend to vote more progressively from casting ballots in American elections.” (Chaput, Williams & Figueras, 2021)

For more see [Disenfranchising the Environmental and Climate Justice Movements](#)



Voters wait in line to cast their ballot early Oct. 12 at the Bell Auditorium in Augusta, Ga. | Michael Holahan/The Augusta Chronicle via AP

ACTIVITY # 1

VOTER SUPPRESSION CASE STUDIES

DISENFRANCHISING THE ENVIRONMENTAL AND CLIMATE JUSTICE MOVEMENTS



THE POLLUTER-INDUSTRIAL COMPLEX AND VOTER SUPPRESSION IN THE UNITED STATES

Group and assign

Split the class into 5 groups and assign each group one section of *Disenfranchising the Environmental and Climate Justice Movements*:

- Gerrymandering Skewing Voter Districts
- Voter Intimidation, Harassment, and Confusion
- Restricted Voting Rights for Citizens with Criminal Records
- Indigenous Environmental Justice Issues and Voting Rights
- Disenfranchising Black Voters in Georgia

Group discussion

In your group, spend 10 minutes reading your assigned section and discussing its main points and findings. Create a bullet point summary of your section that includes the basic facts and findings, and come up with a discussion question to pose to the rest of the class.

Share out

Allow 5–10 minutes for each group to share the summary of their section and pose their discussion question to the class.

ACTIVITY #2

OPEN DISCUSSION OF VOTING RIGHTS AND CJ

Read

*Voting Rights Are Fundamental to
Democracy and Climate Justice*

Guiding questions for discussion

- Do you find the connection between voter suppression and climate justice compelling?
- Have you ever witnessed or experienced voter suppression? What was on the ballot?
- What other issues might be similarly affected by voter suppression?



AP Photo/Susan Walsh

The Polluter-Industrial Complex

PART 3



Overview of the Problem

Cause


As a result of the Supreme Court's *Citizens United* decision in 2010, corporations, wealthy individuals, and special interest groups are able to spend an unlimited amount of money on elections and lobbying efforts.

The polluter-industrial complex (PIC) are big spenders

It is estimated that oil and gas interest groups spend nearly \$1 billion per year lobbying against climate legislation.

Result

The pervasive influence of the PIC on legislatures at all levels of government has caused an over-reliance on the executive branch to act on climate justice. For example, the Inflation Reduction Act and the Justice40 Initiative are/were both spearheaded by the executive branch.



ACTIVITY #3

EXPLORING ELECTIONS SPENDING DATA

Group and explore

In small groups of 3–5 people, spend 5–10 minutes exploring the [Open Secrets Oil & Gas dataset](#). You might compare total spending over time or closely examine the list of contributors, for example.

Group discussion

Discuss what you explored in your small groups for 5–10 minutes. What did you learn? Did anything surprise you? Did you notice any patterns?

Oil & Gas Summary

[Summary](#) [Totals](#) [Background](#) [Lobbying](#) [Money to Congress](#) [Contributors](#) [Recipients](#) [News](#)

Now showing data for the **2024** election cycle.

Source of Funds, 1990-2024




What is *Citizens United*?

Background

Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission, the full title of the case, was brought by conservative nonprofit Citizens United, which sought to lift all restrictions on corporate spending in elections. The Supreme Court ruled that outside groups, including corporations, could spend as much money as they desired on elections on the basis that the First Amendment applied to corporations in addition to individual people.

The immediate aftermath

The *Citizens United* decision reversed restrictions on election spending that had been in place for over a hundred years and led to the formation of super PACs and political nonprofit groups that consist of many very wealthy and often unnamed donors.



Citizens United and Climate Justice

The impact on climate justice

While the PIC has been a far-reaching political influence for many decades, *Citizens United* further increased their electoral power and influence by permitting them to spend an unlimited amount of money to:

1. Support the campaigns of anti-environmentalists and climate-deniers
2. Increase their power over current lawmakers through making large donations
3. Actively lobby against climate justice

In order to achieve climate justice, the influence of the polluter-industrial complex, which was intensified by *Citizens United*, must be addressed.



ACTIVITY #4

EXPLORE OPINIONS ON WHAT IS LIMITING CJ POLICY

Group and assign

Split the class into groups of 3 and assign each person within the group one of the following articles:

1. [Climate policy conflict in the U.S. states: a critical review and way forward](#)
2. [How Big Money in Politics Blocked U.S. Action on Climate Change](#)
3. [How decades of disinformation about fossil fuels halted U.S. climate policy.](#)

Read and discuss

In your groups, take 5–10 minutes to read your article and then spend about 10 minutes discussing the articles that you each read. Be sure to provide a brief summary of your article to your group, and feel free to use these questions to guide the rest of your discussion:

- Who is the author of your article? What interests or biases might they have when approaching this subject?
- What or who does the author argue is the main cause of the issue?
- Do you agree with their argument? Why or why not?
- Is there anything missing from their argument? Are there other factors at play that might be preventing CJ policy?
- What solutions are discussed? Do you think they're viable?

Solutions

PART 4



Combat Gerrymandering

Definition of gerrymandering

"Gerrymandering is when the lines are drawn to manipulate the boundaries to predetermine the outcome of elections, hindering voters from voicing their interests through their votes." ([ACLU](#))

Ways to combat gerrymandering


- Reform (i.e. regulations)
- Redesign (i.e. redistricting committees)
- [Use web tools to identify gerrymandered maps](#)
- [Federal legislation that bans gerrymandering](#)

Republicans won as many as 22 additional House seats due to redistricting in 2016, giving them a 10% margin in seats despite only receiving 1% more of the total votes nationwide. ([Lieb, 2017](#))

For more on gerrymandering see the [Brennan Center for Justice](#)

Measuring the effects of gerrymandering

Among the most populated states, which send the majority of members to Congress, nearly three times as many had Republican-tilted congressional districts, according to an Associated Press analysis using a statistical method of calculating partisan advantage known as the "efficiency gap."



ACTIVITY #5

REFORM V. REDESIGN DEBATE

Group and assign

Split the class into 2 groups and assign each group one of the following articles:

1. *A Partisan Solution to Partisan Gerrymandering: The Define–Combine Procedure* (redesign)
2. *Designing Democracy: A Normative and Empirical Analysis of Redistricting Reform* (reform)

Debate

Each group will use evidence from their assigned reading to debate this question: Which is a better approach to combat gerrymandering: reform or redesign?

The group that read *A Partisan Solution to Partisan Gerrymandering* will argue that redesign is a better approach, and the group that read *Designing Democracy* will argue that reform is a better approach.

Debate structure

1. Opening statement (10 min for each group)
2. Group discussion and prepare response (5 min)
3. Response (5 min for each group)

John R. Lewis Voting Rights Advancement Act

The John R. Lewis Voting Rights Advancement Act would modernize and revitalize the Voting Rights Act of 1965.

Key provisions

- Reinstate preclearance, which requires jurisdictions with a history of voting discrimination must get approval from the Department of Justice or a federal court before changing their voting laws or practices, including redistricting
- Allow voters to sue to block voting laws and practices that are intentionally discriminatory or will yield discriminatory results
- Allow voters to sue states or localities that implement a voting rule that is more discriminatory than the rule it replaces

For more see the [Brennan Center for Justice](#)



For the People Act

The For the People Act has two provisions

1. Create a financing system for small donors in federal elections
2. Ensure transparent elections spending by requiring groups that spend a significant amount of money to disclose their donors

The details of the financing system for small donors

This system would encourage smaller-scale donations by matching every contribution from a small donor with money from public funds, which would be "funded primarily by a surcharge on criminal and civil penalties on corporate defendants and their executive officers." ([Lau, 2021](#))

The limits of the For the People Act

Without further insurance or protection, it is possible for the law, even if passed, to be overturned based on court precedent.



Constitutional Amendment

The content of the amendment

The amendment would "make it clear the Constitution does not restrict the ability of Congress or the states to propose reasonable, content-neutral limitations on private campaign contributions and independent expenditures" and "allow states to enact public campaign financing systems, which can restrict the influence of corporate and private wealth." ([Schiff Campaign, 2022](#))

The impact of the amendment

Laws like the For the People Act and legal arguments against extreme corporate spending in politics would be supported by the Constitution, which would outweigh the precedent set by *Citizens United*.



Beyond the Module

PART 5




PROJECT OPTION #1

Research Project on Solutions

Prompt suggestion

Pick one or a combination of the solutions to the political barriers to achieving climate justice mentioned in part 4 or any other possible solution(s) and research the viability, effectiveness, and scalability of the solution(s) you selected. This project could take the form of a research paper, presentation, or video.



PROJECT OPTION #2

Geographical Analysis of the Relationship Between Democracy & Climate Justice

Prompt suggestion

Choose a city, county, or region and examine the way that democracy and climate justice interact, intersect, and affect each other in that area in the present and/or past. Have EJ communities experienced voter suppression or have the local politics been influenced by the PIC? How has that affected the area's ability to achieve climate justice? Present your findings to the class.



PROJECT OPTION #3

Engage in Your Community

Prompt suggestion

Do some research on climate justice efforts taking place in your community and find a way to get involved and make your voice heard. If your local government is considering climate justice policy, or policy that might perpetuate climate injustice, you could attend town halls or write letters to your elected officials to voice your support or opposition. If a local civic organization is engaged in a campaign that would further climate justice in your community, volunteer to support their efforts.

The goal of this project is to connect with your community and try to further climate justice using the tools of democracy.



Additional Resources

- [Brennan Center for Justice](#)
- [Global Center for Climate Justice: Voter Suppression and the Polluter-Industrial Complex](#)
- [The Leadership Conference on Civil and Human Rights](#)
- [Common Cause](#)
- [American Civil Liberties Union](#)
- [Center for Media and Democracy](#)
- [Vote Like a Madre](#)
- [League of Women Voters](#)
- [Earthjustice](#)
- [350.org](#)
- [Natural Resources Defense Council](#)
- [Environmental Law Institute](#)
- [*Voter Suppression, Climate Justice, and the Polluter-Industrial Complex: How The Corporate Assault On American Democracy And The Climate Are Connected*](#)

For more resources on climate and environmental justice: **Please explore other modules in the Climate Justice Instructional Toolkit.**



Module References

- Adam Schiff Campaign. (2022). Congressman Schiff introduces a constitutional amendment to overturn Citizens United. Schiff.house.gov.
- Basseches, J. A., Bromley-Trujillo, R., Boykoff, M. T., Culhane, T., Hall, G., Healy, N., Hess, D. J., Hsu, D., Krause, R. M., Prechel, H., Roberts, J. T., & Stephens, J. C. (2022). Climate policy conflict in the U.S. states: a critical review and way forward. Climatic change, 170(3-4), 32.
- Brecher, J. (2024). The Green New Deal: From Below or from Above? Labor Network for Sustainability.
- Brennan Center for Justice. (2021). Annotated Guide to the For the People Act of 2021. Brennancenter.org.
- Brennan Center for Justice. (2023). The John Lewis Voting Rights Advancement Act. Brennancenter.org.
- Chaput, D., Williams, K., & Figueras, E. (2021). Disenfranchising the Environmental and Climate Justice Movements. Northeastern Environmental Justice Research Collaborative.
- Deckert, M. (2022). Designing Democracy: A Normative and Empirical Analysis of Redistricting Reform. Honors Theses. 1628.
- Faber, D. (2008). Capitalizing on Environmental Injustice: The Polluter-Industrial Complex in the Age of Globalization. Nature's Meaning. Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, Inc.
- Kirschenbaum, J. & Li, M. (2021). Gerrymandering Explained. Brennan Center for Justice.
- Lashof, D. & Walker, C. (2021). Voting Rights Are Fundamental to Democracy and Climate Justice. World Resources Institute.
- Lau, T. (2021). Why the Climate Crisis Demands Democracy Reform. Brennan Center for Justice.
- Lieb, D. (2017). Analysis indicates partisan gerrymandering has benefited GOP. AP News.
- Lindvall, D. (2021). Democracy and the Challenge of Climate Change. International Idea.
- Lindvall, D., & Karlsson, M. (2024). Exploring the democracy-climate nexus: a review of correlations between democracy and climate policy performance. Climate Policy, 24(1), 87–103.

Module References

- Lyon, G. (2021). [How Can We Combat Gerrymandering?](#) Campaign Legal Center.
- Morris, K. (2019). [Voter Purge Rates Remain High, Analysis Finds](#). Brennan Center for Justice.
- OpenSecrets. (n.d.). [Oil & Gas Summary](#). OpenSecrets.org.
- Palmer, M., Schneer, B., & DeLuca, K. (2023). [A Partisan Solution to Partisan Gerrymandering: The Define–Combine Procedure](#). Political Analysis, 1–16.
- Pierre, J. & Neuman, S. (2021). [How decades of disinformation about fossil fuels halted U.S. climate policy](#). NPR.
- Toomey, D. (2017). [How Big Money in Politics Blocked U.S. Action on Climate Change](#). Yale Environment 360.